Nernst equation

In <u>electrochemistry</u>, the **Nernst equation** is an equation that relates the <u>reduction</u> potential of an electrochemical reaction (<u>half-cell</u> or <u>full cell</u> reaction) to the <u>standard</u> <u>electrode potential</u>, <u>temperature</u>, and <u>activities</u> (often approximated by concentrations) of the <u>chemical species</u> undergoing reduction and <u>oxidation</u>. It was named after <u>Walther</u> <u>Nernst</u>, a German physical chemist who formulated the equation.^{[1][2]}

Contents

Expression Nernst potential Derivation Using Boltzmann factor Using thermodynamics (chemical potential) Relation to equilibrium Limitations Time dependence of the potential

Significance to related scientific domains See also References External links

Expression

A quantitative relationship between cell potential and concentration of the ions

 $Ox + z e^- \rightarrow Red$

standard thermodynamics says that the actual free energy change ΔG is related to the free energy change under standard state ΔG^{Θ} by the relationship:

 $\Delta G = \Delta G^{\ominus} + RT \ln Q_r$

where Q_r is the reaction quotient. The cell potential E associated with the electrochemical reaction is defined as the decrease in Gibbs free energy per coulomb of charge transferred, which leads to the relationship $\Delta G = -zFE$. The constant F (the Faraday constant) is a unit conversion factor $F = N_A q$, where N_A is Avogadro's number and q is the fundamental

electron charge. This immediately leads to the Nernst equation, which for an electrochemical half-cell is

$$E_{\mathrm{red}} = E_{\mathrm{red}}^{\ominus} - rac{RT}{zF} \ln Q_r = E_{\mathrm{red}}^{\ominus} - rac{RT}{zF} \ln rac{a_{\mathrm{Red}}}{a_{\mathrm{Ox}}}.$$

For a complete electrochemical reaction (full cell), the equation can be written as

$$E_{ ext{cell}} = E_{ ext{cell}}^{\ominus} - rac{RT}{zF} \ln Q_r$$

where

 $E_{\rm red}$ is the half-cell reduction potential at the temperature of interest,

 E_{red}^{Θ} is the <u>standard half-cell reduction potential</u>, E_{cell} is the cell potential (<u>electromotive force</u>) at the temperature of interest,

 E_{cell}^{Θ} is the standard cell potential,

R is the universal gas constant: $R = 8.31446261815324 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$, T is the temperature in kelvins,

z is the number of electrons transferred in the cell reaction or half-reaction,

F is the Faraday constant, the number of coulombs per mole of electrons:

 $F = 96\ 485.332\ 123\ 310\ 0184\ \mathrm{C\ mol}^{-1}$.

 $Q_{\rm r}$ is the reaction quotient of the cell reaction, and

a is the chemical activity for the relevant species, where a_{Red} is the activity of the reduced form and a_{Ox} is the activity of the oxidized form.

Similarly to equilibrium constants, activities are always measured with respect to the standard state (1 mol/L for solutes, 1 atm for gases). The activity of species X, a_X , can be related to the physical concentrations c_X via $a_X = \gamma_X c_X$, where γ_X is the activity coefficient of species X. Because activity coefficients tend to unity at low concentrations, activities in the Nernst equation are frequently replaced by simple concentrations. Alternatively, defining the formal potential as:

$$E^{\Theta'} = E^{\Theta} + rac{RT}{zF} \ln rac{\gamma_{Ox}}{\gamma_{Red}}$$

the half-cell Nernst equation may be written in terms of concentrations as:

$$E_{
m red} = E_{
m red}^{\ominus'} - rac{RT}{zF} \ln rac{C_{
m Red}}{C_{
m Ox}}$$

and likewise for the full cell expression.

At room temperature (25 °C), the thermal voltage $V_T = \frac{RT}{F}$ is approximately 25.693 mV.

The Nernst equation is frequently expressed in terms of base-10 <u>logarithms</u> (*i.e.*, <u>common</u> logarithms) rather than natural logarithms, in which case it is written:

$$E=E^0+rac{V_T}{z}\lnrac{a_{\mathrm{Ox}}}{a_{\mathrm{Red}}}=E^0+rac{\lambda V_T}{z}\log_{10}rac{a_{\mathrm{Ox}}}{a_{\mathrm{Red}}}.$$

where $\lambda = \ln(10)$ and $\lambda V_T = 0.05916...V$. The Nernst equation is used in physiology for finding the <u>electric potential</u> of a <u>cell membrane</u> with respect to one type of <u>ion</u>. It can be linked to the acid dissociation constant.

Nernst potential

The Nernst equation has a physiological application when used to calculate the potential of an ion of charge z across a membrane. This potential is determined using the concentration of the ion both inside and outside the cell:

$$E = rac{RT}{zF} \ln rac{[ext{ion outside cell}]}{[ext{ion inside cell}]} = 2.3026 rac{RT}{zF} \log_{10} rac{[ext{ion outside cell}]}{[ext{ion inside cell}]}.$$

When the membrane is in thermodynamic equilibrium (i.e., no net flux of ions), the membrane potential must be equal to the Nernst potential. However, in physiology, due to active ion pumps, the inside and outside of a cell are not in equilibrium. In this case, the resting potential can be determined from the Goldman equation, which is a solution of $\overline{G-H-K}$ influx equation under the constraints that total current density driven by electrochemical force is zero:

$$E_{\mathrm{m}} = rac{RT}{F} \ln \left(rac{\sum\limits_{i}^{N} P_{\mathrm{M}_{i}^{+}} \left[\mathrm{M}_{i}^{+}
ight]_{\mathrm{out}} + \sum\limits_{j}^{M} P_{\mathrm{A}_{j}^{-}} \left[\mathrm{A}_{j}^{-}
ight]_{\mathrm{in}}}{\sum\limits_{i}^{N} P_{\mathrm{M}_{i}^{+}} \left[\mathrm{M}_{i}^{+}
ight]_{\mathrm{in}} + \sum\limits_{j}^{M} P_{\mathrm{A}_{j}^{-}} \left[\mathrm{A}_{j}^{-}
ight]_{\mathrm{out}}}
ight),$$

where

 $E_{\rm m}$ is the membrane potential (in volts, equivalent to joules per coulomb),

 $P_{\rm ion}$ is the permeability for that ion (in meters per second),

[ion]_{out} is the extracellular concentration of that ion (in moles per cubic meter, to match the other SI units, though the units strictly don't matter, as the ion concentration terms become a dimensionless ratio),

 $[ion]_{in}$ is the intracellular concentration of that ion (in moles per cubic meter),

R is the ideal gas constant (joules per kelvin per mole),

T is the temperature in kelvins,

F is Faraday's constant (coulombs per mole). The potential across the cell membrane that exactly opposes net diffusion of a particular ion through the membrane is called the Nernst potential for that ion. As seen above, the magnitude of the Nernst potential

is determined by the ratio of the concentrations of that specific ion on the two sides of the membrane. The greater this ratio the greater the tendency for the ion to diffuse in one direction, and therefore the greater the Nernst potential required to prevent the diffusion. A similar expression exists that includes *r* (the absolute value of the transport ratio). This takes transporters with unequal exchanges into account. See: sodium-potassium pump where the transport ratio would be 2/3, so r equals 1.5 in the formula below. The reason why we insert a factor r = 1.5 here is that current density *by electrochemical force* $J_{e.c.}(Na^+)+J^{e.c.}(K^+)$ is no longer zero, but rather $J_{e.c.}(Na^+)+1.5J_{e.c.}(K^+)=0$ (as for both ions flux by electrochemical force is compensated by that by the pump, i.e. $J_{e.c.}=-J_{pump}$), altering the constraints for applying GHK equation. The other variables are the same as above. The following example includes two ions: potassium (K⁺) and sodium (Na⁺). Chloride is assumed to be in equilibrium.

$$E_m = rac{RT}{F} \ln \left(rac{r P_{\mathrm{K}^+} \left[\mathrm{K}^+
ight]_{\mathrm{out}} + P_{\mathrm{Na}^+} \left[\mathrm{Na}^+
ight]_{\mathrm{out}}}{r P_{\mathrm{K}^+} \left[\mathrm{K}^+
ight]_{\mathrm{in}} + P_{\mathrm{Na}^+} \left[\mathrm{Na}^+
ight]_{\mathrm{in}}}
ight).$$

When chloride (Cl⁻) is taken into account,

$$E_m = \frac{RT}{F} \ln{\left(\frac{rP_{\mathrm{K^+}}\left[\mathrm{K^+}\right]_{\mathrm{out}} + P_{\mathrm{Na^+}}\left[\mathrm{Na^+}\right]_{\mathrm{out}} + P_{\mathrm{Cl^-}}\left[\mathrm{Cl^-}\right]_{\mathrm{in}}}{rP_{\mathrm{K^+}}\left[\mathrm{K^+}\right]_{\mathrm{in}} + P_{\mathrm{Na^+}}\left[\mathrm{Na^+}\right]_{\mathrm{in}} + P_{\mathrm{Cl^-}}\left[\mathrm{Cl^-}\right]_{\mathrm{out}}}\right)}.$$

Derivation

Using Boltzmann factor

For simplicity, we will consider a solution of redox-active molecules that undergo a oneelectron reversible reaction

and that have a standard potential of zero, and in which the activities are well represented by the concentrations (i.e. unit activity coefficient). The chemical potential μ_c of this solution is the difference between the energy barriers for taking electrons from and for giving electrons to the working electrode that is setting the solution's electrochemical potential. The ratio of oxidized to reduced molecules, $\frac{[Ox]}{[Red]}$, is equivalent to the probability of being oxidized (giving electrons) over the probability of being reduced (taking electrons), which we can write in terms of the Boltzmann factor for these processes: Taking the natural logarithm of both sides gives

$$\mu_{
m c} = kT \ln rac{[{
m Red}]}{[{
m Ox}]}.$$

If $\mu_c \neq 0$ at $\frac{[Ox]}{[Red]}$ = 1, we need to add in this additional constant:

$$\mu_{
m c} = \mu_{
m c}^0 + kT \ln rac{[{
m Red}]}{[{
m Ox}]}.$$

Dividing the equation by *e* to convert from chemical potentials to electrode potentials, and remembering that $\frac{k}{e} = \frac{R}{F}$,^[3] we obtain the Nernst equation for the one-electron process Ox + e⁻ \rightarrow Red:

$$egin{aligned} E &= E^0 - rac{kT}{e} \ln rac{[ext{Red}]}{[ext{Ox}]} \ &= E^0 - rac{RT}{F} \ln rac{[ext{Red}]}{[ext{Ox}]}. \end{aligned}$$

Using thermodynamics (chemical potential)

Quantities here are given per molecule, not per mole, and so <u>Boltzmann constant</u> k and the electron charge e are used instead of the gas constant R and Faraday's constant F. To convert to the molar quantities given in most chemistry textbooks, it is simply necessary to multiply by Avogadro's number: $R = kN_A$ and $F = eN_A$. The entropy of a molecule is defined as

$S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} k \ln \Omega,$

where Ω is the number of states available to the molecule. The number of states must vary linearly with the volume V of the system (here an idealized system is considered for better understanding, so that activities are posited very close to the true concentrations. Fundamental statistical proof of the mentioned linearity goes beyond the scope of this section, but to see this is true it is simpler to consider usual isothermal process for an ideal gas where the change of entropy $\Delta S = nR \ln(\frac{V_2}{V_1})$ takes place. It follows from the definition of entropy and from the condition of constant temperature and quantity of gas n that the

change in the number of states must be proportional to the relative change in volume $\frac{V_2}{V_1}$. In this sense there is no difference in statistical properties of ideal gas atoms compared with the dissolved species of a solution with activity coefficients equaling one: particles freely "hang around" filling the provided volume), which is inversely proportional to the concentration *c*, so we can also write the entropy as

$$S = k \ln (\text{constant} \times V) = -k \ln (\text{constant} \times c).$$

The change in entropy from some state 1 to another state 2 is therefore

$$\Delta S=S_2-S_1=-k\lnrac{c_2}{c_1},$$

so that the entropy of state 2 is

$$S_2=S_1-k\ln\frac{c_2}{c_1}.$$

If state 1 is at standard conditions, in which c_1 is unity (e.g., 1 atm or 1 M), it will merely cancel the units of c_2 . We can, therefore, write the entropy of an arbitrary molecule A as

$$S(\mathrm{A}) = S^0(\mathrm{A}) - k \ln[\mathrm{A}],$$

where S^0 is the entropy at standard conditions and [A] denotes the concentration of A.The change in entropy for a reaction

$$a A + b B \rightarrow y Y + z$$
 Zis then given by
 $\Delta S_{rxn} = (yS(Y) + zS(Z)) - (aS(A) + bS(B)) = \Delta S_{rxn}^0 - k \ln \frac{[Y]^y[Z]^z}{[A]^a[B]^b}.$

We define the ratio in the last term as the reaction quotient:

$$Q_r = rac{\displaystyle \prod_j a_j^{
u_j}}{\displaystyle \prod_i a_i^{
u_i}} pprox rac{[\mathrm{Z}]^z [\mathrm{Y}]^y}{[\mathrm{A}]^a [\mathrm{B}]^b},$$

where the numerator is a product of reaction product activities, a_j , each raised to the power of a stoichiometric coefficient, v_j , and the denominator is a similar product of reactant activities. All activities refer to a time *t*. Under certain circumstances (see <u>chemical</u> <u>equilibrium</u>) each activity term such as $a_j^{v_j}$ may be replaced by a concentration term, [A].In an electrochemical cell, the cell potential *E* is the chemical potential available from redox reactions ($E = \frac{\mu_c}{e}$). *E* is related to the <u>Gibbs energy</u> change ΔG only by a constant: $\Delta G = -nFE$, where *n* is the number of electrons transferred and *F* is the Faraday constant. There is a negative sign because a spontaneous reaction has a negative free energy ΔG and a positive potential *E*. The Gibbs energy is related to the entropy by G = H - TS, where *H* is the enthalpy and *T* is the temperature of the system. Using these relations, we can now write the change in Gibbs energy,

$$\Delta G = \Delta H - T \Delta S = \Delta G^0 + kT \ln Q_r,$$

and the cell potential,

$$E=E^0-rac{kT}{ne}\ln Q_r.$$

This is the more general form of the Nernst equation. For the redox reaction $Ox + n e^- \rightarrow Red$,

$$Q_r = rac{[ext{Red}]}{[ext{Ox}]},$$

and we have:

$$egin{aligned} E &= E^0 - rac{kT}{ne} \ln rac{[ext{Red}]}{[ext{Ox}]} \ &= E^0 - rac{RT}{nF} \ln rac{[ext{Red}]}{[ext{Ox}]} \ &= E^0 - rac{RT}{nF} \ln Q_r. \end{aligned}$$

The cell potential at standard conditions E^0 is often replaced by the formal potential $E^{0'}$, which includes some small corrections to the logarithm and is the potential that is actually measured in an electrochemical cell.

Relation to equilibrium

At equilibrium, the electrochemical potential E = 0 and therefore the reaction quotient attains the special value known as the equilibrium constant: Q = K. Therefore,

$$0=E^0-rac{RT}{nF}\ln K
onumber \ \ln K=rac{nFE^0}{RT}.$$

Or at standard temperature,

$$\log_{10} K = rac{n E^0}{\lambda V_T} = rac{n E^0}{0.05916 \ {
m V}} \quad {
m at} \ T = 298.15 \ {
m K}.$$

We have thus related the standard electrode potential and the equilibrium constant of a redox reaction.

Limitations

In dilute solutions, the Nernst equation can be expressed directly in the terms of concentrations (since activity coefficients are close to unity). But at higher concentrations, the true activities of the ions must be used. This complicates the use of the Nernst equation, since estimation of non-ideal activities of ions generally requires experimental measurements. The Nernst equation also only applies when there is no net current flow through the electrode. The activity of ions at the electrode surface changes when there is current flow, and there are additional overpotential and resistive loss terms which contribute to the measured potential. At very low concentrations of the potential-determining ions, the potential predicted by Nernst equation approaches toward $\pm \infty$. This is physically meaningless because, under such conditions, the exchange current density becomes very low, and there is no thermodynamic equilibrium necessary for Nernst equation to hold. The electrode is called unpoised in such case. Other effects tend to take control of the electrochemical behavior of the system.

Time dependence of the potential

The expression of time dependence has been established by Karaoglanoff.^{[4][5][6][7]}

Significance to related scientific domains

The equation has been involved in the scientific controversy involving <u>cold fusion</u>. The discoverers of cold fusion, Fleischmann and Pons, calculated that a palladium cathode immersed in a heavy water electrolysis cell could achieve up to 10^{27} atmospheres of pressure on the surface of the cathode, enough pressure to cause spontaneous nuclear fusion. In reality, only 10,000–20,000 atmospheres were achieved. John R. Huizenga claimed their original calculation was affected by a misinterpretation of Nernst equation.^[8] He cited a paper about Pd–Zr alloys.^[9] The equation permits the extent of reaction between two redox systems to be calculated and can be used, for example, to decide whether a particular reaction will go to completion or not.At equilibrium the emfs of the two half cells are equal. This enables K_c to be calculated hence the extent of the reaction.

See also

- Concentration cell
- Electrode potential

- Galvanic cell
- Goldman equation
- Membrane potential
- Nernst–Planck equation
- Solvated electron

References

- 1. Orna, Mary Virginia; Stock, John (1989). *Electrochemistry, past and present*. Columbus, OH: American Chemical Society. <u>ISBN 978-0-8412-1572-6</u>. <u>OCLC 19124885 (https://www.worldcat.org/oclc/19124885)</u>.
- 2. Wahl (2005). "A Short History of Electrochemistry". *Galvanotechtnik*. **96** (8): 1820–1828.
- 3. $R = N_A k$; see gas constant $F = e N_A$; see Faraday constant
- Karaoglanoff, Z. (January 1906), "Über Oxydations- und Reduktionsvorgänge bei der Elektrolyse von Eisensaltzlösungen" (https://zenodo.org/record/1424952) [On Oxidation and Reduction Processes in the Electrolysis of Iron Salt Solutions], *Zeitschrift für Elektrochemie* (in German), **12** (1): 5–16, doi:10.1002/bbpc.19060120105 (https://doi.or g/10.1002%2Fbbpc.19060120105)
- 5. Bard, Allen J.; Inzelt, György; Scholz, Fritz, eds. (2012-10-02), "Karaoglanoff equation", *Electrochemical Dictionary* (https://books.google.com/?id=4TBWg3dIyKQC&pg=PA527& Ipg=PA527), Springer, pp. 527–528, ISBN 9783642295515
- 6. Zutshi, Kamala (2008), *Introduction to Polarography and Allied Techniques* (https://book s.google.com/?id=WmiaCVH-MEIC&pg=PA127&lpg=PA127), pp. 127–128, ISBN 9788122417913
- 7. The Journal of Physical Chemistry, Volume 10, p 316. https://books.google.com /books?id=zCMSAAAIAAJ&pg=PA316&lpg=PA316&hl=en&f=false
- 8. Huizenga, John R. (1993). *Cold Fusion: The Scientific Fiasco of the Century* (2 ed.). Oxford and New York: Oxford University Press. pp. 33, 47. ISBN 978-0-19-855817-0.
- 9. Huot, J. Y. (1989). "Electrolytic Hydrogenation and Amorphization of Pd-Zr Alloys". Journal of the Electrochemical Society. **136** (3): 630. doi:10.1149/1.2096700 (https://doi. org/10.1149%2F1.2096700). ISSN 0013-4651 (https://www.worldcat.org/issn/0013-465 1).

External links

- Nernst/Goldman Equation Simulator (http://www.nernstgoldman.physiology.arizona.edu /)
- Nernst Equation Calculator (http://www.physiologyweb.com/calculators/nernst_potential _calculator.html)
- Interactive Nernst/Goldman Java Applet (http://thevirtualheart.org/GHKindex.html)
- DoITPoMS Teaching and Learning Package- "The Nernst Equation and Pourbaix Diagrams" (http://www.doitpoms.ac.uk/tlplib/pourbaix/index.php)

Retrieved from "https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Nernst_equation&oldid=945162051"

This page was last edited on 12 March 2020, at 05:15 (UTC).

Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License; additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the Terms of Use and Privacy Policy. Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a non-profit organization.